

Dated: June 12, 2017



Daniel P. Collins, Chief Bankruptcy Judge

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

In re) Chapter 13 Proceedings
)
KAREN CHOY LAN YEE,) Case No: 2:16-bk-11798-DPC
)
)
)
Debtor.) **Order Regarding Fees and Expenses
Sought to be Held Nondischargeable
Under 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(5)**
)
)
)
) (Not For Publication)
)

On April 3, 2017, the Court held that amounts awarded to Martin Yee (“Dr. Yee”) by the Arizona Superior Court, Maricopa County (“State Court”) were nondischargeable under 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(5).¹ Now Dr. Yee seeks this Court’s order awarding him fees and expenses incurred in these bankruptcy proceedings and declaring that such amounts are also nondischargeable. Because this Court finds there is no legal basis to grant Dr. Yee his fees in these proceedings, the Court denies his fee application. However, the Court does approve Dr. Yee’s pre-bankruptcy child support claim of \$1,862.76, which claim is nondischargeable. As to Dr. Yee’s claims for unreimbursed costs, the Court defers to the State Court on the question of whether the debtor (“Ms. Yee”) owes Dr. Yee any unreimbursed child support costs advanced by Dr. Yee. If the State Court determines Dr. Yee does have claims for such costs, those claims will be nondischargeable. Finally, the Court determines that the nondischargeable amounts owed to Dr. Yee accrue interest

¹ Unless otherwise indicated, all Chapter, Section and Rule references are to the Bankruptcy Code (“Code”), 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532, and to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Rules 1001-9037.

1 at the rate identified in A.R.S. § 44-1201(B) up to the date of a judgment to be entered
2 by this Court, and, thereafter, at the rate of interest identified in 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a).²

3 **I. BACKGROUND**

4 1. On June 13, 2016, the State Court entered an Order and Judgment
5 Awarding Father Attorney's Fees and Costs against Debtor awarding Dr. Yee a total of
6 \$56,768.08 comprised of attorneys' fees (\$55,485.00) and costs (\$1,283.08) ("State
7 Court Judgment"). See Exhibit B attached to Dr. Yee's Legal Memorandum (DE³ 52).

8 2. On August 10, 2016, the State Court awarded Dr. Yee attorney's fees and
9 costs in the amount of \$3,990.00 pursuant to A.R.S. § 25-324 related to the Best Interests
10 Attorney ("BIA") Motion filed by Debtor with the Family Court ("BIA Motion Award").
11 A copy of the BIA Motion Award is attached to DE 52 as Exhibit "C."

12 3. Ms. Yee filed her Chapter 13 bankruptcy on October 13, 2016 ("Petition
13 Date").

14 4. On November 10, 2016, Debtor filed her chapter 13 plan ("Plan") (DE 21).

15 5. On November 28, 2016, Debtor filed a Motion to Avoid Judicial Lien
16 pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 522(f) ("Lien Avoidance Motion") (DE 28).

17 6. On April 3, 2017, the Court heard oral arguments on the question of
18 whether the State Court Judgment and BIA Motion Award were domestic support
19 obligations ("DSO") within the meaning of § 101(14A) of the Bankruptcy Code and thus
20 nondischargeable pursuant to § 523(a)(5). The Court held these amounts were DSOs
21 and, therefore, nondischargeable (DE 76). Further, the Court ordered Dr. Yee to provide
22 an accounting of all additional amounts he asserted were nondischargeable.

23 7. Dr. Yee filed his accounting ("Accounting") on April 17, 2017 (DE 81).

24
25

² This Order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 7052 of the Rules of
Bankruptcy Procedure.

26 ³ "DE" shall hereafter refer to docket entries in the administrative file in this bankruptcy case.

1 8. Dr. Yee filed his fee application (“Fee Application”) on April 17, 2017
2 (DE 82). The Fee Application appears to cover all matters Dr. Yee’s counsel addressed
3 in connection with Ms. Yee’s bankruptcy, including the § 341 first meeting of creditors,
4 the Plan, Lien Avoidance Motion, and § 523 issues.

5 9. Dr. Yee filed his first supplement (“Supplement”) to the Fee Application
6 on April 26, 2017 (DE 89). The Supplement brought to this Court’s attention a pleading
7 denoted as Mother’s Motion to Clarify (“Motion to Clarify”) filed in State Court by
8 Ms. Yee’s attorney, Trail Potter (“Potter”). Potter’s law firm was approved by this Court
9 (DE 73) as special counsel for Ms. Yee to handle her family law matters in the State
10 Court. Potter’s filing of the Motion to Clarify caused this Court to issue an Order to
11 Show Cause hearing for June 12, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. against Potter (DE 101).

12 10. Ms. Yee filed her objection (“Objection”) to the Accounting and Fee
13 Application on May 1, 2017 (DE 91).

14 11. Dr. Yee filed his reply (“Reply”) to the Objection on May 5, 2017 (DE 92).

15

16 **II. JURISDICTION**

17 This Court has jurisdiction over the dischargeability issues presented by the parties
18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(I).⁴

19

20 **III. ISSUES**

21 1. Is there a legal basis for the Court to award the reasonable fees and costs
22 incurred by Dr. Yee in these bankruptcy proceedings?

23 2. What is the amount of nondischargeable child support owed by Ms. Yee to
24 Dr. Yee?

25

26 ⁴ See, Dr. Yee’s Accounting, page 3, line 7-8.

1 3. What is the amount of nondischargeable child support cost reimbursement
2 owed by Ms. Yee to Dr. Yee?

3 4. What are the applicable rates of interest on pre-judgment and post-
4 judgment nondischargeable amounts owed by Ms. Yee to Dr. Yee?

5

6 **IV. ANALYSIS**

7 A. Attorneys' Fees and Costs Sought by Dr. Yee

8 Dr. Yee seeks an award of fees in the amount of \$34,128.50 and costs of \$40.98
9 incurred through April 17, 2017 in connection with his involvement in Ms. Yee's
10 bankruptcy proceedings. He also asks that these fees be recognized as a DSO⁵ that would
11 be nondischargeable under § 523(a)(5). Ms. Yee does not challenge the reasonableness
12 of the fees or costs sought by Dr. Yee. Rather, she contends there is no legal basis to
13 award fees or costs against her. Except for Dr. Yee's fees incurred related to his counsel's
14 notice of appearance and time related to Ms. Yee's first meeting of creditors, which
15 amounts total \$1,139.00,⁶ the Court finds Dr. Yee's fees and costs are reasonable and
16 related to enforcing his DSO claims. However, as to whether there is a legal basis for
17

18 ⁵ See § 101(14A) which defines DSO to mean "a debt that accrues before, on, or after the date of the order for relief
19 in a case under this title, including interest that accrues on that debt as provided under applicable nonbankruptcy
law notwithstanding any other provision of this title, that is—

20 (A) owed to or recoverable by—
21 (i) a spouse, former spouse, or child of the debtor or such child's parent, legal guardian, or responsible
relative; or
22 (ii) a governmental unit;
23 (B) in the nature of alimony, maintenance, or support (including assistance provided by a governmental unit) of
such spouse, former spouse, or child of the debtor or such child's parent, without regard to whether such debt is
expressly so designated;
24 (C) established or subject to establishment before, on, or after the date of the order for relief in a case under this
title, by reason of applicable provisions of—
25 (i) a separation agreement, divorce decree, or property settlement agreement;
26 (ii) an order of a court of record; or
27 (iii) a determination made in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law by a governmental unit; and
28 (D) not assigned to a nongovernmental entity, unless that obligation is assigned
voluntarily by the spouse, former spouse, child of the debtor, or such child's parent,
legal guardian, or responsible relative for the purpose of collecting the debt."

29
30 ⁶ See Dr. Yee's counsel's time entries from November 21, 2016 to November 23, 2016.

1 this Court to award legal fees and costs to Dr. Yee in connection with his efforts in these
2 bankruptcy proceedings, the Court agrees with Ms. Yee.

3 The parties agree, as they must, that under the “American Rule” a litigant in
4 federal court is not entitled to an award of attorneys’ fees and costs unless an applicable
5 statute or enforceable contract provides for such award. *Travelers Casualty & Surety*
6 *Company of America v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, 549 U.S. 443, 448, 127 S.
7 Ct. 1199 (2007). Neither party contends there exists a contract which so provides.
8 Moreover, the Bankruptcy Code does not provide a general right to recover fees. *Heritage*
9 *Ford v. Baroff (In Re Baroff)*, 105 F.3d 439, 441 (9th Cir. 1997). Neither does § 523(a)(5)
10 provide a statutory right to fees. However, as the 9th Circuit indicated in *Baroff*, “a
11 prevailing party in a bankruptcy proceeding may be entitled to an award of attorney fees
12 in accordance with applicable state law if state law governs the substantive issues raised
13 in the proceedings.” *Id.*

14 Dr. Yee argues that Arizona’s family law statutes stand as the basis for an award
15 of his fees and costs against Ms. Yee. Dr. Yee points to A.R.S. §§ 25-324(A) and (B)
16 which state:

17 A. The court from time to time, after considering the financial resources of
18 both parties and the reasonableness of the positions each party has taken
19 throughout the proceedings, may order a party to pay a reasonable amount
20 to the other party for the costs and expenses of maintaining or defending any
21 proceeding under this chapter or chapter 4, article 1 of this title. On request
22 of a party or another court of competent jurisdiction, the court shall make
23 specific findings concerning the portions of any award of fees and expenses
24 that are based on consideration of financial resources and that are based on
25 consideration of reasonableness of positions. The court may make these
26 findings before, during or after the issuance of a fee award.

27 B. If the court determines that a party filed a petition under one of the
28 following circumstances, the court shall award reasonable costs and attorney
29 fees to the other party:

- 30 1. The petition was not filed in good faith.
- 31 2. The petition was not grounded in fact or based on law.
- 32 3. The petition was filed for an improper purpose, such as to harass the other
33 party, to cause an unnecessary delay or to increase the cost of litigation to
34 the other party.

1 Section 25-324 is found in chapter 3 (“Dissolution of Marriage”) of title 25
2 (“Marriage and Domestic Relations”) of the Arizona Revised Statutes. Article 1 of
3 chapter 4 of title 25 covers “Legal Decision-Making and Parenting Time.”

4 Ms. Yee contends these Arizona family law statutes do not supply a basis to award
5 Dr. Yee fees incurred in her bankruptcy proceeding, even though such fees are related to
6 Dr. Yee’s efforts to protect and enforce the State Court’s orders which constitute DSOs
7 within the meaning of § 101(14A) of the Bankruptcy Code.

8 Under the plain language of § 25-324(A), “[t]he court...may order a party to pay
9 a reasonable amount to the other party for the costs and expenses of maintaining or
10 defending any proceeding under this chapter or chapter 4, article 1 of this title”.
11 (Emphasis supplied). Dr. Yee’s extensive and important efforts in the bankruptcy court
12 were designed “to protect and enforce the valid DSO granted to him by the [State]
13 Court.”⁷ However, Dr. Yee’s efforts do not satisfy § 25-324(A)’s requirements that such
14 efforts constitute “maintaining or defending any proceeding” under Arizona’s
15 Dissolution of Marriage statutes (A.R.S. § 25-301 to A.R.S. § 25-381.24) or Arizona’s
16 Legal Decision-Making and Parenting Time statutes (A.R.S. § 25-401 to A.R.S. § 25-
17 415). Although “the court” referenced in § 25-324(A) is not limited to only the State
18 Court, the bankruptcy court does not have jurisdiction over marital dissolution
19 proceedings or matters concerning a family’s legal decision-making or parenting time
20 issues. “The court” cannot, therefore, mean the bankruptcy court. More importantly, the
21 “proceeding,” before this Court, i.e., the question of dischargeability of claims awarded
22 by the State Court, is not a “proceeding” under title 25, chapter 3 or a “proceeding” under
23 Title 25, chapter 4, article 1 of the Arizona Revised Statutes.

24
25
26

⁷ See the Fee Application, DE 81, page 1, lines 21-22.

1 Dr. Yee points to bankruptcy cases from Utah and California where courts looked
2 to those states' family law statutes and held that fees incurred in § 523(a)(5) litigation
3 were nondischargeable DSOs. In the case of *In Re Busch*, 369 B.R. 614 (10th Cir. BAP
4 2007), the Court reviewed Utah Code Ann. § 30-3-3(2) (2006) which states:

5 (2) In any action to enforce an order of custody, parent-time, child support,
6 alimony, or division of property in a domestic case, the court may award
7 costs and attorney fees upon determining that the party substantially
8 prevailed upon the claim or defense. The court, in its discretion, may award
9 no fees or limited fees against a party if the court finds the party is
10 impecunious or enters in the record the reason for not awarding fees.

11 The 10th Circuit BAP held that the claimant's participation in the debtor's chapter 13
12 cases seeking payment of her claims and litigating the nondischargeability of her claims
13 were "certainly actions in the nature of enforcing the terms of the divorce decree. And
14 no dispute exists regarding who is the prevailing party." *Id.* at 625. See also *In Re Laver*,
15 2012 WL 3848643 (Bankr. Utah 2012) (citing *Busch*, but without referencing Utah's
16 applicable fee shifting statute, that bankruptcy court held fees incurred in bankruptcy
17 court to enforce a Utah DSO are excepted from discharge but the state court should
18 determine the amount of fees necessarily incurred to enforce the DSO in the bankruptcy
19 proceeding.) Unlike Utah, Arizona's family law statutes do not provide for an award of
20 fees "in any action to enforce an order of ... child support." Rather, Arizona's applicable
21 statute allows attorneys' fees to be awarded only in proceedings under chapter 3 or
22 chapter 4, article 1 of Arizona's Marriage and Domestic Relations statutes.

23 Dr. Yee also cites *In Re Carson*, 510 B.R. 627 (Bankr. E.D.Ca. 2014), for the
24 proposition that reasonable fees incurred in a bankruptcy nondischargeability proceeding
25 are themselves nondischargeable. In *Carson*, Bankruptcy Judge Sargis reviewed
26 California Family Code § 2030 and determined that,

27 [t]his section applies not only to a proceeding for dissolution of marriage,
28 nullity of marriage, or legal separation, but in 'any proceeding subsequent
29 to the entry of the related judgment.' [Cal. Fam. §2030(a)] This Adversary

1 Proceeding is a proceeding subsequent to the State Court judgment and
2 relates to the enforcement of that State Court judgment.

2 *Id.* at 633. California's statute specifically provides for a fee award in "any proceeding"
3 subsequent to a family court's judgment which constitutes a DSO. Arizona's family law
4 statutes are not so broad. Again, fee awards under Arizona's statute may only be granted
5 if the proceeding is a proceeding under chapter 3 or chapter 4, article 1 of Arizona's
6 Marriage and Domestic Relations statutes.

7 Dr. Yee next argues A.R.S. § 25-324(B) provides a statutory basis for an award of
8 fees he incurred in the Bankruptcy Court. Fees are awardable under that statute if a
9 "petition" was (1) filed not in good faith, (2) not grounded in fact or law, or (3) filed for
10 an improper purpose. The term "petition" derives from A.R.S. § 25-311(C) which notes:

11 The initial pleading in all proceedings under this chapter and under chapter
12 4, article 1 of this title shall be denominated a petition. A responsive pleading
 shall be denominated a response.

13 Dr. Yee's fees incurred in connection with Ms. Yee's bankruptcy case were not incurred
14 by virtue of a "petition" for dissolution of the Yees' marriage nor a "petition" to address
15 legal decision-making or parenting time. Section 25-324(C) does not aid Dr. Yee's
16 cause.

17 Although the reasonableness of Dr. Yee's fees and costs incurred in these
18 bankruptcy proceedings are not in question (except as noted on page 4, above), the Court
19 is not free to grant his fee application unless applicable Arizona law permits such an
20 award. Dr. Yee has only directed this Court to A.R.S. §§ 25-324(A) and (B) as providing
21 the statutory bases for such award. While this Court is inclined to believe a fee award in
22 favor of Dr. Yee would be equitable, the U.S. Supreme Court has cautioned bankruptcy
23 courts against exceeding their § 105 equitable powers when the exercise of those powers
24 would run contrary to explicit controlling statutory provisions. *See Law v. Siegel*, 134 S.
25 Ct. 1188 (2014).

26

1 B. Past Due Child Support

2 Although Dr. Yee's Accounting (DE 81, pages 7 and 9) initially sought an award
3 of \$3,725.52 in past due child support owed to him by Ms. Yee, his Reply (DE 92, pages
4 17 and 19) agrees with Ms. Yee's Objection. This Court, therefore, awards Dr. Yee the
5 sum of \$1,862.76 plus interest (*See Section D, Applicable Interest Rates, below*) for his
6 unpaid claim for the pre-petition child support owed to him by Ms. Yee. Such amounts
7 are DSOs which are nondischargeable under § 523(a)(5).

8

9 C. Reimbursable Child Support Costs

10 Dr. Yee directs this Court's attention to the State Court's July 31, 2015, Order:
11 Regarding Financial Issues and Child Support ("Stipulated Order")⁸ as supplying the
12 basis to his claims for accrued reimbursable child support costs. Without documenting
13 support for his claims, Dr. Yee's Accounting (DE 81) seeks this Court's order
14 determining that such claims total \$7,361.75 through April 17, 2017. He further requests
15 that such claims be determined nondischargeable DSOs. Ms. Yee contends these
16 reimbursable costs are in dispute, are subject to set-offs and are subject to appeal.
17 Dr. Yee's Reply (DE 92) attaches 123 pages of documentary support for these claims.

18 The question of the amount of any claims Dr. Yee holds against Ms. Yee for
19 payment of such unreimbursed child support costs are subject to unresolved factual
20 disputes. The State Court is best equipped to resolve these factual questions as well as
21 any questions concerning interpretations of its Stipulated Order. The Court finds the
22 bankruptcy stay (§ 362 of the Bankruptcy Code) does not stay the State Court's
23 determination of the unreimbursed cost amounts, if any, owed by Ms. Yee to Dr. Yee.
24 Even if § 362 does stay the State Court's determination of such claim amounts, the Court

25

26 ⁸ See Exhibit 1 attached to DE 81.

1 hereby terminates all bankruptcy stays so as to afford the State Court and any state
2 appellate court the opportunity to resolve Dr. Yee's claims for unreimbursed child
3 support costs. Once such claims are determined by the Arizona judiciary, they shall
4 become nondischargeable under § 523(a)(5) as DSOs.

5

6 D. Applicable Interest Rates

7 The 9th Circuit has held that interest on nondischargeable child support obligations
8 is also nondischargeable and such interest continues to accrue after the filing of a Chapter
9 13 case. *In Re Foster*, 319 F.3d 495, 497 (9th Cir 2003). The Court is then left with the
10 question of the applicable interest rate(s) and the time periods such rates accrue. The 9th
11 Circuit BAP noted that “[i]t is well settled that where a debt that is found to be
12 nondischargeable arose under state law, ‘the award of prejudgment interest on that debt
13 is also governed by state law.’ *Otto v. Niles (In Re Niles)*, 106 F.3d 1456, 1463 (9th Cir.
14 1997).” *In re Weinberg*, 410 B.R. 19, 37 (9th Cir. BAP 2009).

15

16 1. Pre-judgment Interest

17 Since the State Court Judgment and BIA Motion Award were determined by this
18 Court to be nondischargeable DSOs and each of those orders were issued by the State
19 Court, the Court must apply one of the interest rates enumerated under state law to the
20 pre-judgment nondischargeable amounts owed to Dr. Yee. Dr. Yee argues that A.R.S. §
21 25-510(E) supplies the applicable interest rate because the State Court Judgment and BIA
22 Motion Award are for domestic support arrearages. He further argues that since this Court
23 previously determined that both the State Court Judgment and BIA Motion Award are
24 DSOs, interest is properly calculated under A.R.S. § 25-510(E).

25 Debtor argues that this Court's determination that the State Court Judgment and
26 BIA Motion Award were DSOs was strictly for the limited purpose of determining

1 whether the debts are nondischargeable DSOs under federal law. Further, this Court's
2 characterization of the State Court Judgment and BIA Motion Award as DSOs does not
3 make them support arrearages under state law so the applicable judgment interest rate is
4 found at A.R.S. § 44-1201.

5 This Court has already determined the State Court Judgment and BIA Motion
6 Award were in the nature of domestic support as they relate to litigation involving the
7 best interests of the child. *See, In re Rehkow*, No. ADV.04-00936, 2006 WL 6811011, at
8 *3-4 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Aug. 17, 2006), aff'd, 239 F. App'x 341 (9th Cir. 2007). A.R.S.
9 § 25-500 provides applicable definitions for title 25, chapter 5, Family Support Duties:

10 In this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires:

11 1. "Arrearage" means the total unpaid support owed, including child
support, past support, spousal maintenance and interest.

12 9. "Support" means the provision of maintenance or subsistence and
13 includes medical insurance coverage, or cash medical support, and
uncovered medical costs for the child, arrearages, interest on arrearages, past
14 support, interest on past support and reimbursement for expended public
assistance. In a title IV-D case, support includes spousal maintenance that
15 is included in the same order that directs child support.

16 The Court finds that the State Court Judgment and BIA Motion Award are not "support"
17 within the meaning of A.R.S. § 25-500(9). Those two State Court awards were for fees
18 incurred by Dr. Yee. They were not "support" awards. The fact that those awards are
19 DSOs within the meaning of § 101(14A) does not make the awards "support" within the
20 meaning of § 25-500(9). A.R.S. § 44-1201 dictates the proper interest rate percentage
21 applied to both the State Court Judgment and the BIA Motion Award.

22 A.R.S. § 44-1201(B) states:

23 Unless specifically provided for in statute or a different rate is contracted
24 for in writing, interest on any judgment shall be at the lesser of ten per cent
per annum or at a rate per annum that is equal to one per cent plus the prime
25 rate as published by the board of governors of the federal reserve system in
statistical release H.15 or any publication that may supersede it on the date
26 that the judgment is entered. The judgment shall state the applicable interest
rate and it shall not change after it is entered.

1 1% plus the prime rate today is 5%, which, of course, is lower than 10%. Pre-judgment
2 interest on the nondischargeable judgment shall be 5%.⁹

3

4 2. Post-judgment Interest

5 The final question for this Court to resolve is the appropriate post-judgment
6 interest rate to apply to this Court's yet-to-be-entered nondischargeable judgment.

7 28 U.S.C. §1 961(a) states:

8 Interest shall be allowed on any money judgment in a civil case recovered
9 in a district court. Execution therefor may be levied by the marshal, in any
10 case where, by the law of the State in which such court is held, execution
11 may be levied for interest on judgments recovered in the courts of the State.
12 Such interest shall be calculated from the date of the entry of the judgment,
13 at a rate equal to the weekly average 1-year constant maturity Treasury
14 yield, as published by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve
15 System, for the calendar week preceding the date of the judgment. The
16 Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall
17 distribute notice of that rate and any changes in it to all Federal judges.

18 28 U.S.C. § 1961(c)(4) states:

19 (c)

20 ...
21 (4) This section shall not be construed to affect the interest on any
22 judgment of any court not specified in this section.

23 Since this Court's soon-to-be-entered nondischargeable judgment will be a money
24 judgment in a civil case recovered in a federal court, the Court concludes that interest
25 shall accrue on the judgment at the rate specified in 28 U.S.C. § 1961(a) from the date
26 the Court enters its nondischargeable judgment. This conclusion is consistent with
nondischargeable judgments granted in other bankruptcy cases. See, for example, *In Re
Levitsky*, 137 B.R. 208 (Bank. E. D. Wisc. 1992) and *In re Brace*, 131 B.R. 612 (Bankr.
W.D. Mi. 1991). It is also consistent with 9th Circuit authority, albeit in a factually

27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374

1 dissimilar context. See *In Re Cardelucci*, 285 F.3d 1230, 1235 (9th Cir. 2002) (“It has
2 long been the rule that an award of post-judgment interest is procedural in nature and
3 thereby dictated by federal law”).

4

5 **V. CONCLUSION**

6 As much as this Court feels it would be equitable to award Dr. Yee his reasonable
7 fees and costs incurred in connection with his efforts in this bankruptcy case, the Court
8 finds no legal basis to do so. However, Dr. Yee is entitled to a judgment entered by this
9 Court declaring nondischargeable the following amounts:

10 1. \$56,768.08 from June 13, 2015, through the date judgment is entered, at
11 the pre-judgment interest rate of 5.0%. This amount pertains to the State Court Judgment.

12 2. \$3,990.00 plus interest from August 10, 2016, through the date judgment
13 is entered, at the pre-judgment interest rate of 5.0%. This amount pertains to the BIA
14 Motion Award.

15 3. \$1,862.76 plus interest from the Petition Date (October 13, 2016) through
16 the date judgment is entered, at the pre-judgment rate of 5.0%. This amount is the agreed
17 upon pre-bankruptcy child support arrears.

18 4. Any sums declared by the State Court to be owed by Ms. Yee to Dr. Yee
19 as and for accrued unreimbursed child support costs.

20 All of the above amounts shall accrue interest at the rate described in 28 U.S.C.
21 §1961(a) from the day after judgment is entered, until paid. Counsel for Dr. Yee is hereby
22 directed to lodge a judgment consistent with this Court’s order.¹⁰

23

24 **Signed and Dated Above.**

25

26 ¹⁰ Nothing in this Order shall be construed as limiting or in any way impacting on the Order to Show Cause this
Court has directed to Potter or any exposure he may face concerning his preparation, filing and supporting his
Motion to Clarify.

1 COPY of the foregoing mailed by the BNC and/or
2 sent by auto-generated mail to:

3 Patrick F. Keery
4 Keery Mccue, PLLC
5 6803 E Main Street
6 Suite 1116
7 Scottsdale, AZ 85251
8 Attorney for Dr. Yee

9
10 Michael A. Jones
11 Allen Barnes & Jones, PLC
12 1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 1150
13 Phoenix, AZ 85004

14 Russell Brown
15 3838 North Central Avenue
16 Suite 800
17 Phoenix, AZ 85012-1965
18 Chapter 13 Trustee

19
20 By: Denine Downs -
21 Judicial Assistant

22
23
24
25
26

Exhibit A Selected Interest Rates

Selected Interest Rates (Daily) - H.15

H.15 Selected Interest Rates RSS DDP

The release is posted daily Monday through Friday at 4:15pm. The release is not posted on holidays or in the event that the Board is closed.

Release date: June 9, 2017

Selected Interest Rates

Yields in percent per annum

Instruments	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
	Jun 2	Jun 5	Jun 6	Jun 7	Jun 8
Federal funds (effective) 1 2 3	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
Commercial Paper 3 4 5 6					
Nonfinancial					
1-month	0.86	0.89	0.88	0.93	0.93
2-month	0.92	0.94	0.92	0.97	0.98
3-month	0.98	0.99	0.97	1.02	1.03
Financial					
1-month	0.95	0.96	0.95	0.96	0.96
2-month	1.00	1.01	1.00	1.01	n.a.
3-month	1.06	1.07	1.05	1.07	1.06
Bank prime loan 2 3 7	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Discount window primary credit 2 8	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
U.S. government securities					
Treasury bills (secondary market) 3 4					
4-week	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.82	0.78
3-month	0.96	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.99
6-month	1.04	1.04	1.06	1.07	1.09
1-year	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.14	1.16
Treasury constant maturities					
Nominal 9					
1-month	0.82	0.83	0.83	0.84	0.80
3-month	0.98	0.96	0.97	1.00	1.01
6-month	1.06	1.06	1.08	1.09	1.11
1-year	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.17	1.19
2-year	1.28	1.32	1.30	1.32	1.33
3-year	1.42	1.45	1.42	1.45	1.47
5-year	1.71	1.74	1.71	1.74	1.75
7-year	1.96	1.99	1.95	1.99	2.00
10-year	2.15	2.18	2.14	2.18	2.19

Instruments	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
	Jun	Jun	Jun	Jun	Jun
	2	5	6	7	8
20-year	2.53	2.56	2.53	2.56	2.57
30-year	2.80	2.84	2.81	2.84	2.85
Inflation indexed 10					
5-year	-0.01	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.06
7-year	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.26
10-year	0.36	0.39	0.37	0.40	0.41
20-year	0.68	0.72	0.70	0.73	0.74
30-year	0.87	0.92	0.91	0.93	0.95
Inflation-indexed long-term average 11	0.70	0.74	0.73	0.75	0.77

n.a. Not available.

Footnotes

1. As of March 1, 2016, the daily effective federal funds rate (EFFR) is a volume-weighted median of transaction-level data collected from depository institutions in the Report of Selected Money Market Rates (FR 2420). Prior to March 1, 2016, the EFFR was a volume-weighted mean of rates on brokered trades.
2. Weekly figures are averages of 7 calendar days ending on Wednesday of the current week; monthly figures include each calendar day in the month.
3. Annualized using a 360-day year or bank interest.
4. On a discount basis.
5. Interest rates interpolated from data on certain commercial paper trades settled by The Depository Trust Company. The trades represent sales of commercial paper by dealers or direct issuers to investors (that is, the offer side). The 1-, 2-, and 3-month rates are equivalent to the 30-, 60-, and 90-day dates reported on the Board's Commercial Paper Web page (www.federalreserve.gov/releases/cp/).
6. Financial paper that is insured by the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program is not excluded from relevant indexes, nor is any financial or nonfinancial commercial paper that may be directly or indirectly affected by one or more of the Federal Reserve's liquidity facilities. Thus the rates published after September 19, 2008, likely reflect the direct or indirect effects of the new temporary programs and, accordingly, likely are not comparable for some purposes to rates published prior to that period.
7. Rate posted by a majority of top 25 (by assets in domestic offices) insured U.S.-chartered commercial banks. Prime is one of several base rates used by banks to price short-term business loans.
8. The rate charged for discounts made and advances extended under the Federal Reserve's primary credit discount window program, which became effective January 9, 2003. This rate replaces that for adjustment credit, which was discontinued after January 8, 2003. For further information, see www.federalreserve.gov/boarddocs/press/bcreg/2002/200210312/default.htm. The rate reported is that for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Historical series for the rate on adjustment credit as well as the rate on primary credit are available at www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h15/data.htm.
9. Yields on actively traded non-inflation-indexed issues adjusted to constant maturities. The 30-year Treasury constant maturity series was discontinued on February 18, 2002, and reintroduced on February 9, 2006. From February 18, 2002, to February 9, 2006, the U.S. Treasury published a factor for adjusting the daily nominal 20-year constant maturity in order to estimate a 30-year nominal rate. The historical adjustment factor can be found at www.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interest-rates/. Source: U.S. Treasury.
10. Yields on Treasury inflation protected securities (TIPS) adjusted to constant maturities. Source: U.S. Treasury. Additional information on both nominal and inflation-indexed yields may be found at www.treasury.gov/resource-center/data-chart-center/interest-rates/.

11. Based on the unweighted average bid yields for all TIPS with remaining terms to maturity of more than 10 years.

Note: Current and historical H.15 data, along with weekly, monthly, and annual averages, are available on the Board's Data Download Program (DDP) at www.federalreserve.gov/datadownload/Choose.aspx?rel=H15. Weekly, monthly and annual rates are averages of business days unless otherwise noted.

Description of the Treasury Nominal and Inflation-Indexed Constant Maturity Series

Yields on Treasury nominal securities at "constant maturity" are interpolated by the U.S. Treasury from the daily yield curve for non-inflation-indexed Treasury securities. This curve, which relates the yield on a security to its time to maturity, is based on the closing market bid yields on actively traded Treasury securities in the over-the-counter market. These market yields are calculated from composites of quotations obtained by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The constant maturity yield values are read from the yield curve at fixed maturities, currently 1, 3, and 6 months and 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 20, and 30 years. This method provides a yield for a 10-year maturity, for example, even if no outstanding security has exactly 10 years remaining to maturity. Similarly, yields on inflation-indexed securities at "constant maturity" are interpolated from the daily yield curve for Treasury inflation protected securities in the over-the-counter market. The inflation-indexed constant maturity yields are read from this yield curve at fixed maturities, currently 5, 7, 10, 20, and 30 years.

Last Update: June 09, 2017